Financial Report
with Supplemental Information
June 30, 2008

	Contents
Report Letter	I
Management's Discussion and Analysis	2
Financial Statement	
Balance Sheet	3
Notes to Balance Sheet	4-5
Supplemental Information	6
Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements	7





Suite 300 19176 Hall Road Clinton Township, MI 48038 Tel: 586.416.4900 Fax: 586.416.4901 plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To Chief Judge Joseph Boedeker District Court No. 39 City of Roseville, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statement of the District Court Funds of District No. 39 (a component unit of the City of Roseville, Michigan) as of June 30, 2008. This financial statement is the responsibility of the District Court Funds of District No. 39, City of Roseville, Michigan's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District Court Funds of District No. 39, City of Roseville, Michigan as of June 30, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The management's discussion and analysis, as identified in the table of contents, is not a required part of the basic financial statement but is supplemental information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management, regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplemental information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statement. The accompanying other supplemental information, as identified in the table of contents, is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statement. The other supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statement and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statement taken as a whole.

Plante + Moran, PLLC



Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our discussion and analysis of District Court Funds of District No. 39, City of Roseville, Michigan's (the "District Court") financial performance provides an overview of the District Court's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. Please read it in conjunction with the District Court's financial statement.

Using this Financial Report

This financial report represents the activities of the funds of the District Court during the year. The funds of the District Court are Agency Funds. Therefore, the activities are limited to collection of amounts that are subsequently returned or paid to third parties. The funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of results of operations. A detailed schedule of cash receipts and disbursements is shown in the supplemental information portion of the financial report.

The District Court as a Whole

The following table shows, in a condensed format, the assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2008 compared to the prior year:

	2008			2007		
Assets - Cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$</u>	208,736	\$	203,914		
Liabilities						
Returnable bonds	\$	102,841	\$	118,028		
Due to General Fund		134		512		
Escrow deposits		105,761		85,374		
Total liabilities	\$	208,736	\$	203,914		

The District Court's combined assets and liabilities increased 2 percent from a year ago, increasing from \$203,914 to \$208,736.

Contacting the District Court's Management

This financial report is intended to provide our citizens and customers with a general overview of the District Court's activities and to show the District Court's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, we welcome you to contact the District Court directly at (586) 773-2010.

Balance Sheet June 30, 2008

	Di	strict					Ju	ry and
	Conti	ol Unit		Bond	G	arnishment	Witı	ness Fee
	Colle	ections		Account		Account	A	count
Assets - Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>	102,975	<u>\$</u>	105,761	<u>\$</u>	
Liabilities								
Returnable bonds	\$	-	\$	102,841	\$	-	\$	-
Due to General Fund		-		134		-		-
Escrow deposits					_	105,761		
Total liabilities	\$	_	\$	102,975	\$	105,761	\$	

Notes to Balance Sheet June 30, 2008

Note I - Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the District Court Funds of District No. 39, City of Roseville, Michigan (the "District Court") conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to governmental units. The District Court is governed by three elected judges. There are no component units.

The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies used by the District Court Funds of District No. 39:

The funds of the District Court are Agency Funds. The financial activities of the funds are limited to fine and fee collections that are transferred to the district control unit (the City of Roseville) when processed. The accumulation of those collections and the ultimate payment to the applicable agencies are the responsibility of the district control unit. The funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of results of operations.

The operations of the District Court are included as a separate activity in the General Fund of the City of Roseville.

The District Court is a component unit of the City of Roseville and is included in the basic financial statements of the City of Roseville at June 30, 2008.

Note 2 - Cash and Cash Equivalents

Michigan Compiled Laws Section 129.91 (Public Act 20 of 1943, as amended) authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. A local unit is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

Notes to Balance Sheet June 30, 2008

Note 2 - Cash and Cash Equivalents (Continued)

The District Court has designated one bank for the deposit of its funds. The investment policy adopted by the District Court in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized investment in bonds and securities of the United States government and bank accounts and CDs, but not the remainder of state statutory authority as listed above. The District Court's deposits and investment policies are in accordance with statutory authority.

The District Court's cash and investments are subject to custodial credit risk, which is examined in more detail below:

Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District Court's deposits may not be returned to it. The District Court does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the District Court had deposits totaling \$208,736. The deposits were reflected in the accounts of the bank (without recognition of checks written but not yet cleared or of deposits in transit) totaling \$140,958, all of which was covered by federal depository insurance. The District Court evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.



Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements Year Ended June 30, 2008

	District Contro		Garnishment	Jury and Witness		
	Unit Collection	s Bond Account	Account	Fee Account		
Cash and Cash Equivalents -						
Beginning of year	\$ -	\$ 118,540	\$ 85,374	\$ -		
Receipts						
Fines and fees	3,111,43	-	-	-		
Bonds posted	=	870,126	-	-		
Garnishments	-	-	27,720	-		
Interest income		2,917	3,628			
Total receipts	3,111,43	6 873,043	31,348	-		
Disbursements						
Transfers to district control unit	1,980,59	9 -	-	-		
Transfers to district control unit						
for payment to:						
State of Michigan	551,94	2 -	-	-		
Macomb County	37,54	0 -	-	-		
Attorney fees	220,91		-	-		
Refunds and miscellaneous	320,43	9 -	-	-		
Bond refunds, forfeitures, and						
transfers	-	888,608	-	-		
Garnishments			10,961			
Total disbursements	3,111,43	888,608	10,961			
Cash and Cash Equivalents -						
End of year	\$ -	\$ 102,975	\$ 105,761	<u> - </u>		